arXiv:1610.01237v2 [gr-qc] 16 Oct 2016

First law of black hole mechanics in nonlinear electrodynamic theory and its application to Bardeen black holes

Yuan Zhang*and Sijie Gao†
Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University,
Beijing 100875, China

October 18, 2016

Abstract

We derive the first law of black hole mechanics from a general nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian. Compared with a similar derivation in the literature, our first law is verified by the Bardeen black hole which has been found to possess a nonlinear magnetic monopole. We also propose an alternative first law for the Bardeen black hole, by introducing a new mass formula, which has a simple expression and corresponds to a desired Smarr formula.

1 Introduction

It has been argued that singularities do not exist in nature, and the singularity problem should be solved in the frame of quantum gravity. Since we do not have a developed quantum gravity theory yet, a thorough discussion on the singular black holes is seemingly impossible. Instead, a few black hole solutions without a central singularity have been proposed and investigated.

In 1966, Sakharov[1] and Gliner[2] suggested that singularities could be replaced with a central matter core and an inflationary equation of state $p = -\rho$, where p is the pressure and ρ is the energy density.

Later on, Bardeen constructed the first regular black hole with the metric[3]

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2+q^2)^{3/2}}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2+q^2)^{3/2}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \,. \qquad (1)$$

*Email: zhangyuan@mail.bnu.edu.cn
†Corresponding author. Email: sijie@bnu.edu.cn

This black hole is asymptotically flat with a non-singular de Sitter-like region near the center. This can be seen from

$$1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2+q^2)^{3/2}} \to 1 - \frac{2M}{q^3}r^2\,, \tag{2}$$

as $r \to 0$.

Bardeen's idea was followed by various regular black hole solutions (see [4] for a review). However, long after Bardeen's work, the matter source of this solution remained unknown. Ayón-Beato and García[5][6] successfully interpreted the Bardeen black hole as a magnetic monopole. They also constructed other regular black holes in the framework of nonlinear electrodynamics (NED). Their work was commented by Bronnikov[7], stressing that if the NED model approaches Maxwell theory at weak approximation, then it will not lead us to a singular solution.

Recently, the Bardeen black hole was extended by Neves and Saa[8][9]. They showed that regular black holes can be obtained from singular black holes, and vice versa, by applying linear constraints on the energy-momentum tensor.

The thermodynamical and optical properties, as well as the particle collision and scale wave scattering near the horizon of regular black holes have also been discussed[10]-[16].

Difficulties concerning the first law arise when we deal with regular black holes. One typical example is the Bardeen black hole originating from a nonlinear magnetic field. In general, the first law of black hole mechanics is given by

$$\delta M = T_H \delta S + \Omega \delta J + ...\,, \tag{3}$$

where T_H is the Hawking temperature. In the Bardeen case, the entropy is proportional to the area of the black hole horizon. However, Ma and Zhao[18] pointed out that

$$T_H \neq \frac{\partial M}{\partial S}\,. \tag{4}$$

To save the first law, Ma and Zhao[18] proposed a new temperature defined by

$$T_h = C(M, r_h) T_H\,, \tag{5}$$

where $C(M, r_h)$ is an extra factor. Ma [19] also discussed the thermodynamic stabilities of the regular black hole by evaluating the heat capacity at constant magnetic charge C_p.

Various proposals concerning the first law of NED black holes have been considered in recent years. Miskovic and Olea[20, 21] studied a general Lagrangian for NED coupled to Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity and found conserved charges. M.Azreg-Ainou [22, 23] took into account the $p - V$ terms in NED black hole thermodynamics. Breton studied the thermodynamical stability of the Bardeen black hole [24, 25]. Fan developed a general procedure to construct exact black

hole solutions from NED and studied the thermodynamics of these solutions [26, 27].

In fact, a general form of the first law of black hole mechanics in the context of nonlinear electrodynamics has been derived by Rasheed [28]:

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A + \Omega_H \delta J + \Phi_H \delta Q + \Psi_H \delta P\,, \tag{6}$$

where A,J,Q and P are the area, angular momentum, electric charge and magnetic charge. This definitely is a desired form for the first law. Since the Bardeen black hole has been derived in the framework of the nonlinear electrodynamics theory [6], this first law is expected to apply to it. However, it is easy to check that the Bardeen black hole does not satisfy Eq. (6). By carefully examining Rasheed's derivation, we find that the nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian in [28] is assumed to be a pure function of the electromagnetic field F_{ab}. But some other parameters, such as the mass and magnetic charge, also appear in the Lagrangian that actually leads to the Bardeen solution [6]. So in order to obtain the first law by variation, some extra terms must arise. By closely following Rasheed's work, we derive a more general form of the first law and it is verified by the Bardeen solution.

Despite the generality of our result, the additional terms appearing in the first law of the Bardeen black hole look lengthy. To obtain a short expression, we derive a new mass formula such that the additional terms disappear. More importantly, this first law has a desired corresponding Smarr formula. Conclusions are given in section 6.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly review the theory of nonlinear electrodynamics coupled to gravity. In section 3, we follow Rasheed's prescription, with some different conventions and details, to derive the first law of a general NED black hole. In section 4, we apply the general formula to the Bardeen black hole and its correctness is verified. In section 5, a simple form of the first law and Smarr formula for Bardeen black holes are proposed by introducing a new mass formula. For readers's convenience, we provide two appendices deriving the variation of the surface gravity on the horizon and a useful formula concerning the variation of the Komar mass.

2 Nonlinear electrodynamics

The Electromagnetic field is described by the field-strength tensor F_{ab}, which can be written as

$$F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a\,. \tag{7}$$

Define the scalar field F by

$$F = \frac{1}{4}F^{ab}F_{ab} = \frac{1}{4}g^{ac}g^{bd}F_{ab}F_{cd}\,. \tag{8}$$

Then a general theory of nonlinear electrodynamics coupled to gravity can be described by the action [28]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}[R - 4h(F)]\,, \tag{9}$$

where R is the scalar curvature and $h(F)$ is a function of F. When $h(F) = F$, it reduces to the linear electrodynamics case.

Define

$$G^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial F_{ab}}\right) = h'(F)F^{ab}\,. \tag{10}$$

Varying the action with respect to A_a and g_{ab} yields the electromagnetic field equation

$$\nabla_a G^{ab} = 0\,, \tag{11}$$

and Einstein's equation

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab}\,, \tag{12}$$

where

$$T_{ab} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[G_a{}^c F_{bc} - h(F)g_{ab}\right] \tag{13}$$

is the stress-energy tensor for the nonlinear electromagnetic field.

Now we assume that the spacetime is stationary, i.e., there exists a Killing vector field ξ^a. The electric and magnetic field vectors are defined as follows

$$E_a = F_{ab}\xi^b \tag{14}$$

$$H_a = -*G_{ab}\xi^b\,, \tag{15}$$

where [28]

$$*G_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}G^{cd} \tag{16}$$

Then there exists an electric potential Φ and a magnetic potential Ψ such that

$$E_a = -\nabla_a\Phi \tag{17}$$

$$H_a = -\nabla_a\Psi\,. \tag{18}$$

3 First law of NED black hole mechanics

In this section, we shall derive the general form of the first law from the nonlinear electrodynamics action. The derivation is close to the framework laid out by Rasheed [28]. However, we shall see the difference in the final formula.

If Σ is a spacelike hypersurface extending to infinity, the 3-volume element of Σ is chosen as

$$\epsilon_{abc} = \epsilon_{dabc} s^d \,, \tag{19}$$

where s^d is the future-directed timelike unit normal to Σ. Let S_∞ represent a toplogical 2-sphere lying on Σ approaching infinity. The volume element on S_∞ is specified as

$$\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{cab} n^c \,, \tag{20}$$

where n^a is the outward unit normal to S_∞. Let ξ^a be a future-directed timelike Killing vector field. The Komar mass is defined by [28, 29]

$$M = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{S_\infty} \epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d \,. \tag{21}$$

Applying the Stocks's theorem, we have

$$M - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_S \epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma d_c (\epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d) \,, \tag{22}$$

where Σ is a hypersurface connecting S_∞ and the black hole horizon S. The two-volume elements on S and S_∞ are induced from the three-volume element on Σ. Since the norm is outward, the orientations on S and S_∞ are different. For example, in the spherical coordinates, if $\epsilon_{ab}|_{S_\infty} \sim d\theta_a \wedge d\phi_b$, then $\epsilon_{ab}|_S \sim -d\theta_a \wedge d\phi_b$. For convenience, we change the orientation of S such that

$$M = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_S \epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma d_c (\epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d) \,. \tag{23}$$

The first integral yields [29]

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_S \epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d = \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi} \,. \tag{24}$$

The second integral gives [29]

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma d_c (\epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma R_{ab} s^a \xi^b dV \,. \tag{25}$$

Using Einstein's equation, we have [29]

$$M = \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi} + 2 \int_\Sigma \left(T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab} \right) s^a \xi^b dV \,. \tag{26}$$

So the variation of M gives

$$\delta M = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\kappa \delta A + A \delta \kappa) + 2\delta \int_\Sigma \left(T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab} \right) s^a \xi^b dV \,. \tag{27}$$

Comparing with Eq. (122), we obtain

$$2\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi}\delta A - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d(\delta R + \gamma^{ab}R_{ab}) + 2\delta\int_\Sigma \left(T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Tg_{ab}\right)s^a\xi^b dV\,. \quad (28)$$

By Einstein's equation, we may replace R by $-8\pi T$ and R_{ab} by $8\pi T_{ab} - 4\pi T g_{ab}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\delta M &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\delta A + \int_\Sigma \epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d\delta T - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \gamma^{ef}(8\pi T_{ef} - 4\pi g_{ef}T)\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d \\ &+ 2\delta\int_\Sigma T_{cd}s^c\xi^d\epsilon_{abc} - \delta\int_\Sigma Ts_d\xi^d\epsilon_{abc}\,. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From

$$\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d = s^d\xi_d\epsilon_{abc}\,, \quad (30)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\delta M &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\delta A + \int_\Sigma \epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d\delta T - \int_\Sigma \gamma^{ef}(T_{ef})\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \gamma^{ef}g_{ef}T\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d \\ &+ 2\delta\int_\Sigma T_{cd}s^c\xi^d\epsilon_{abc} - \delta\int_\Sigma T\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd}\,. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Calculate the last term:

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta\int_\Sigma Ts_d\xi^d\epsilon_{abc} &= -\delta\int_\Sigma T\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d \\ &= -\int \delta T\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d - \int T\xi^d\delta\epsilon_{abcd} \\ &= -\int \delta T\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d + \frac{1}{2}\int T\xi^d g_{ab}\delta g^{ab}\epsilon_{abcd} \\ &= -\int \delta T\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d - \frac{1}{2}\int T\xi^d s_d g_{ab}h^{ab}\epsilon^{(3)}\,. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Therefore, Eq. (31) becomes

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \gamma^{ef}(T_{ef})\epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d + \delta\int_\Sigma T_{cd}s^c\xi^d\epsilon^{(3)} \quad (33)$$

Rasheed assumed that the NED is described by the function $h(F)$, as shown in Eq. (9). Now we assume that h depends on some other parameters β_i as well. Note that these parameters are not universal constants. For example, in the Bardeen solution that we shall demonstrate in the next section, β_i are the mass and magnetic charge of the black hole. So their variations must be taken into account when formulating the first law. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -4\sqrt{-g}h(F,\beta_i)\,. \quad (34)$$

The first variation yields

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -4h(F)\delta\sqrt{-g} - 4\sqrt{-g}\frac{\partial h}{\partial F}\delta F - 4\sqrt{-g}\frac{\partial h}{\partial \beta_i}d\beta_i\,. \tag{35}$$

For simplicity, we define

$$dh = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \beta_i}d\beta_i\,. \tag{36}$$

Using

$$\delta\sqrt{-g} = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}g^{ab}\delta g_{ab}\,, \tag{37}$$

it is not difficult to obtain

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -8\pi\sqrt{-g}T_{ab}\delta g^{ab} - 2\sqrt{-g}G^{ab}\delta F_{ab} - 4\sqrt{-g}dh \tag{38}$$

Substitution of Eq. (38) into the first integral in Eq. (33) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \gamma^{ef}T_{ef}\xi^d s_d\epsilon_{abc} \\
= \;& \frac{1}{2}\int T_{ef}\delta g^{ef}\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd} \\
= \;& \int\left(-\frac{1}{16\pi}\delta\mathcal{L}_{EM} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\sqrt{-g}G^{ab}\delta F_{ab} - \frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{-g}dh\right)\xi^d e_{abcd} \\
= \;& -\frac{1}{16\pi}\delta\int\mathcal{L}_{EM}\xi^d e_{abcd} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int\sqrt{-g}G^{ab}\delta F_{ab}\xi^d e_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4\pi}\int\sqrt{-g}dh\xi^d e_{abcd} \\
= \;& \frac{1}{4\pi}\delta\int h(F,\beta_i)\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int G^{ab}\delta F_{ab}\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dh\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $e_{abcd} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon_{abcd}$ is the fixed volume element. So Eq. (33) becomes

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A + \frac{1}{4\pi}\delta\int h(F,\beta_i)\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\int G^{ab}\delta F_{ab}\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd} + \delta\int_\Sigma T_{cd}s^c\xi^d\epsilon_{abc} - \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dh\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd} \tag{40}$$

Replacing T_{cd} by Eq. (13), we have

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A + \frac{1}{4\pi}\delta\int G_c{}^e F_{de}s^c\xi^d\epsilon^{(3)} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int G^{ab}\delta F_{ab}\xi^d s_d\epsilon^{(3)} - \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dh\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd}\,. \tag{41}$$

Let

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int G_c{}^e F_{de}s^c\xi^d\epsilon^{(3)} \tag{42}$$

$$\delta I_2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int G^{ab}\delta F_{ab}\xi^d s_d\epsilon^{(3)}\,. \tag{43}$$

So

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A + \delta I_1 + \delta I_2 - \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dh\xi^d\epsilon_{abcd}\,. \tag{44}$$

3.1 Calculating I_1

Using

$$E_a = F_{ab}\xi^b = -\nabla_a \Phi \,, \tag{45}$$

we may write

$$I_1 \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\int G_c{}^e \nabla_e \Phi s^c \epsilon^{(3)} \,. \tag{46}$$

By the field equation $\nabla_a G^{ab} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \nabla_e (G_c{}^e \Phi) s^c \epsilon^{(3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd} \nabla_e (G^{de}\Phi) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Using Eqs. (113) and (114) again, we find

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma dS_{abc} \,, \tag{48}$$

where

$$S_{ab} = \epsilon_{abcd}\Phi G^{cd} \,. \tag{49}$$

So by Stokes's theorem and the assumption $\Phi \to 0$ at infinity, we have

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_S S_{ab} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_S \epsilon_{abcd}\Phi G^{cd} = \Phi_H Q \,, \tag{50}$$

where the last step used Φ is constant at the horizon and Q is the electric charge of the black hole. [29].

3.2 Calculating δI_2 in Eq. (43)

Consider

$$Y^a = \epsilon^{abcd}(*G_{cd}\delta E_b - H_b \delta F_{cd}) \,. \tag{51}$$

The first term is

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= \epsilon^{abcd} * G_{cd}\delta E_b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcd}\epsilon_{cdef}G^{ef}\delta E_b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{cdab}\epsilon_{cdef}G^{ef}\delta E_b \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}2!2!\delta^{[a}_e \delta^{b]}_f G^{ef}\delta E_b \\ &= -2G^{ab}\xi^e \delta F_{be} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The second term is

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 &= \epsilon^{abcd} * G_{be}\xi^e \delta F_{cd} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{bacd}\epsilon_{beij}G^{ij}\xi^e\delta F_{cd} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}3!\delta^{[a}_e\delta^c_i\delta^{d]}_j G^{ij}\xi^e\delta F_{cd} \\ &= 3\xi^{[a}G^{cd]}\delta F_{cd} \\ &= 3\frac{2}{3!}(\xi^a G^{cd} + \xi^c G^{da} + \xi^d G^{ac})\delta F_{cd} \\ &= \xi^a G^{cd}\delta F_{cd} + 2\xi^e G^{ad}\delta F_{de}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Thus,

$$Y^a = \xi^a G^{cd}\delta F_{cd}\,. \tag{54}$$

So Eq. (43) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I_2 &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int Y^d s_d \epsilon^{(3)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}Y^d \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\epsilon^{dkef}(*G_{ef}\delta E_b - H_k\delta F_{ef}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}(-2)G^{de}\delta E_e + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\epsilon^{dkef}H_k\delta F_{ef} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}G^{de}\delta(\nabla_e\Phi) - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\epsilon^{dkef}(\nabla_k\Psi)\delta F_{ef} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\nabla_e(G^{de}\delta\Phi) - \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\epsilon^{dkef}\nabla_k(\Psi\delta F_{ef}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\epsilon^{dkef}\Psi\nabla_k\delta F_{ef}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The last term vanishes because ∇ can be fixed for δ and $\nabla_{[k}F_{ef]} = 0$. So

$$\delta I_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\nabla_e(G^{ed}\delta\Phi) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{abcd}\nabla_k(\epsilon^{kdef}\Psi\delta F_{ef})\,. \tag{56}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Sigma 2\epsilon_{abcd}\nabla_e w^{[ed]} \\ &= -\int_S \epsilon_{abcd}w^{cd} + \int_{S_\infty}\epsilon_{abcd}w^{cd}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and the potentials vanish at infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I_2 &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_S \epsilon_{abcd}G^{ed}\delta\Phi_H - \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_S \epsilon_{abcd}\epsilon^{cdef}\Psi\delta F_{ef} \\ &= -Q\delta\Phi_H - \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_S(-1)4\Psi\delta F_{cd}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

By definition,

$$P = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_S \epsilon_{abcd} * F^{cd} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int F_{ab} \tag{59}$$

is the magnetic charge. So we finally obtain the first law

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A + \Phi_H \delta Q + \Psi_H \delta P - \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma dh\xi^d \epsilon_{abcd}\,. \tag{60}$$

Compared to Rasheed's result, the integral in the formula is new because we assumed that the function h depends on some additional parameters, as in the case of the Bardeen solution. To make the presentation simpler, we have not taken the angular momentum into account in the derivation above. So the $\Omega_H dJ$ term is absent in Eq. (60).

4 Application to the Bardeen black hole

The metric for the Bardeen black hole reads [6]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\,, \tag{61}$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2+q^2)^{3/2}}\,, \tag{62}$$

which gives

$$M = \frac{(r_h^2+q^2)^{3/2}}{2r_h^2} \tag{63}$$

where r_h is the horizon radius. The surface gravity is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2}f'(r_h) = \frac{Mr_h(-2q^2+r_h^2)}{(q^2+r_h^2)^{5/2}}\,. \tag{64}$$

The volume element is chosen as

$$\epsilon_{abcd} = dt_a \wedge dr_b \wedge d\theta_c \wedge d\phi_d\,. \tag{65}$$

Then by our convention, the induced volume-elements are

$$\epsilon_{abc} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(r)}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^a \epsilon_{dabc} = \frac{1}{f(r)} r^2 \sin\theta dr_a \wedge d\theta_b \wedge d\phi_c\,, \tag{66}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{ab} = \sqrt{f(r)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^c \epsilon_{cab} = r^2 \sin\theta d\theta_a \wedge d\phi_b\,. \tag{67}$$

Take the $h(F,\beta_i)$ in Eq. (34) to be [6]

$$h(F,M,q)=\frac{3M}{q^3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2q^2F}}{1+\sqrt{2q^2F}}\right)^{5/2}. \tag{68}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $q>0$. Choose

$$F_{ab}=q\sin\theta(d\theta_a d\phi_b-d\phi_a d\theta_b)\,. \tag{69}$$

So

$$F=\frac{q^2}{2r^4}\,. \tag{70}$$

The magnetic charge is defined by

$$P=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int F_{ab}\,. \tag{71}$$

Performing the integration on any two-sphere, we find

$$P=\int F_{\theta\phi}d\theta d\phi=q\,. \tag{72}$$

Note that [28]

$$\begin{aligned} H_a &= -*G_{ab}\xi^b \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}G^{cd}\xi^b \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}\frac{\partial h}{\partial F}F^{cd}\xi^b\,. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

By substituting the Bardeen solution, we find

$$H_a=\frac{15Mqr^4}{(q^2+r^2)^{7/2}}dr_a\,. \tag{74}$$

Thus, we obtain the magnetic potential

$$\Psi(r)=\frac{3M\left(2q^2r^2\sqrt{q^2+r^2}+r^4\sqrt{q^2+r^2}+q^4\sqrt{q^2+r^2}-r^5\right)}{q\,(q^2+r^2)^{5/2}}\,, \tag{75}$$

where the integration constant has been chosen such that $\Psi\to\infty$ as $r\to\infty$. To check Eq. (60), we use Eq. (68)to obtain

$$dh=-\frac{3Mq\left(6q^2+r^2\right)}{2\,(q^2+r^2)^{7/2}}\delta q+\frac{3q^2}{(q^2+r^2)^{5/2}}\delta M\,. \tag{76}$$

By integration, we can get the last term in Eq. (60)

$$\begin{aligned} idh &= \left(1-\frac{r_h^3}{\left(q^2+r_h^2\right)^{3/2}}\right)\delta M-\frac{1}{2q\left(q^2+{r_h}^2\right)^{5/2}}3M\delta q \\ &\quad \left[2q^2{r_h}^2\left(\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}-r_h\right)+{r_h}^4\left(\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}-r_h\right)+q^4\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Using

$$\delta M=\frac{\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}\left(3qr_h\delta q+\delta r_h\left({r_h}^2-2q^2\right)\right)}{2r_h^3}\,, \tag{78}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} idh &= \frac{3r_h\left(-{r_h}^4\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}+q^4\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}+{r_h}^5\right)}{4q{r_h}^3\left(q^2+{r_h}^2\right)}\delta q \\ &- \frac{2q\left(2q^2-{r_h}^2\right)\left({r_h}^2\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}+q^2\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}-{r_h}^3\right)}{4q{r_h}^3\left(q^2+{r_h}^2\right)}\delta r_h\,. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

and the right hand side of Eq. (60) becomes

$$r.h.s=\frac{\sqrt{q^2+{r_h}^2}\left(3qr_h\delta q+\left({r_h}^2-2q^2\right)\delta r_h\right)}{2{r_h}^3}\,, \tag{80}$$

which is just δM in Eq. (78). So Eq. (60) is verified.

5 Alternative first law for the Bardeen black hole

The first law for the Bardeen black hole in the last section seems length. In this section, we shall derive a simple formula by redefining the mass. Since only the horizon radius is involved in this section, we shall use r to refer to r_h. We wish to find m such that

$$\delta m=\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A+\Psi\delta q\,. \tag{81}$$

If this relation holds, it must follow

$$\frac{\partial(\kappa r)}{\partial q}=\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial r}\,. \tag{82}$$

Using Eq. (63) κ and Ψ can be treated as functions of r and q. So from Eqs. (63) and (75), we have

$$\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial r}=-\frac{3qr^2}{\left(q^2+r^2\right)^2}\,. \tag{83}$$

By integration, we have

$$\Psi = -3q \left[\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)}{2q} - \frac{r}{2\left(q^2+r^2\right)} \right] + s(q)\,, \tag{84}$$

where $s(q)$ is an arbitrary function.

It follows from Eq. (81) that

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial r} = \kappa r\,. \tag{85}$$

By integration, we have

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\left[r - 3q\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) \right] + w(q)\,, \tag{86}$$

where $w(q)$ is an arbitrary function of q. Eq. (81) also gives

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial q} = \Psi\,. \tag{87}$$

Substitution of Eqs. (84)and (86) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{3qr}{q^2+r^2} - 3\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) \right] + w'(q) = -3q \left[\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)}{2q} - \frac{r}{2\left(q^2+r^2\right)} \right] + s(q)\,, \tag{88}$$

which gives

$$w'[q] = s[q]\,. \tag{89}$$

Since $\tan^{-1}(r/q) \to \pi/2$ for $q \to 0$, one may choose $w(0) = 0$ in Eq. (86) such that

$$m \to M\,, \tag{90}$$

for $q \to 0$.

It is easy to check that

$$m - \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi} - \Psi q = w(q) - q s(q)\,. \tag{91}$$

So when taking $w(q) = 0$, we find the desired Smarr formula

$$m = \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi} + \Psi q\,. \tag{92}$$

Therefore, by redefining m and Ψ, we obtain Eqs. (81) and (92), i.e., both the first law and the Smarr formula take the simplest forms.

6 Conclusions

We have rederived the first law of black hole mechanics from a general nonlinear electrodynamic theory. Compared with Rasheed's result, an additional term appears. The correctness of this formula has been verified by the Bardeen black hole. The apparent inconsistency between the Bardeen black hole and Rasheed's result has been clarified. Our work shows clearly that the first law of the Bardeen black hole can be derived from a general treatment of nonlinear electrodynamics. We have also proposed an alternative form of the first law for the Bardeen black hole such that both the first law and Smarr formula take very simple forms.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by NSFC Grants No. 11235003, No. 11375026 and NCET-12-0054.

A Calculating $\delta\kappa$

In this section, we derive the variation of the surface gravity of a static black hole. The derivation follows closely to that in [30] with more details. The surface gravity is defined on the horizon H by

$$\kappa = n^a \xi^b \nabla_a \xi_b \,. \tag{93}$$

Choosing the gauge [1]

$$\delta\xi^a = 0 \,. \tag{94}$$

Thus, the horizon is unchanged under variations. This means that ξ_a is still the normal to the horizon. So on the horizon

$$\delta\xi_a = f\xi_a \,. \tag{95}$$

Consequently,

$$\delta n^a = n'^a - n^a = g n^a \,. \tag{96}$$

Since

$$\xi'_a n'^a = -1 \,, \tag{97}$$

and f and g are small quantities, we have

$$f + g = 0 \,. \tag{98}$$

[1] For rotating black holes, ξ^a usually takes the form $\xi^a = k^a + \Omega_H \phi^a$, where k^a and ϕ^a represent the stationary and rotational Killing vectors, and Ω_H is the horizon angular velocity. In that case, $\delta\xi^a = \Omega_H \phi^a$.

Thus,

$$\delta(n^a\xi_b) \quad = \quad \xi_b\delta n^a + n^a\delta\xi_b = g\xi_b n^a + f n^a\xi_b = 0 \tag{99}$$

i.e.,

$$n^a\delta\xi_b + \xi_b\delta n^a = 0\,. \tag{100}$$

From the fact that ξ^a is a Killing vector field for both the unperturbed and perturbed spacetime, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi\delta\xi_a = \xi^b\nabla_b\delta\xi_a + \delta\xi_b\nabla_a\xi^b = 0\,. \tag{101}$$

Now we calculate $\delta\kappa$. First, we write

$$\kappa \quad = \quad n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\xi_b = \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\xi_b - \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_b\xi_a\,. \tag{102}$$

Then the variation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\kappa &= \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\delta\xi_b - \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_b\delta\xi_a + \delta n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\xi_b \\
&= \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\delta\xi_b - \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_b\delta\xi_a - n^a\delta\xi_b\nabla_a\xi^b \\
&= \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\delta\xi_b - \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_b\delta\xi_a + n^a\xi^b\nabla_b\delta\xi_a \\
&= \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_a\delta\xi_b + \frac{1}{2}n^a\xi^b\nabla_b\delta\xi_a \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(n^a\xi^b + n^b\xi^a)\nabla_a\delta\xi_b\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

Since $\delta\xi_b = f\xi_b$, we have

$$\delta\kappa \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2}(n^a\xi^b + n^b\xi^a)\xi_b\nabla_a f \tag{104}$$

$$= \quad \frac{1}{2}n^b\xi_b\xi^a\nabla_a f = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^a(\xi_a f) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^a\delta\xi_a\,. \tag{105}$$

Now that $\delta\xi_a = \xi^b\delta g_{ab}$, we find [30]

$$\delta\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^a(\xi^b\delta g_{ab}) = -\frac{1}{2}\xi^b\nabla^a\delta g_{ab}\,, \tag{106}$$

where we have used the fact that $\nabla^a\xi^b$ is asymmetric in the last step.

B Calculating δM

Note that on the horizon, the induced volume element can be specified as [29]

$$\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{abcd}n^c\xi^d\,, \tag{107}$$

where ξ^a is the Killing vector field and null normal to the horizon and n^a is the inward null vector field satisfying $n^a\xi^a = -1$ on the horizon.

Eq. (107) is equivalent to

$$\epsilon_{abcd} = \xi_c \wedge n_d \wedge \epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{ab} \wedge \xi_c \wedge n_d \,. \tag{108}$$

So on the horizon S

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \epsilon_{abcd} w^{cd} &= \int_S \epsilon_{ab} \wedge \xi_c \wedge n_d w^{cd} \\ &= \int_S \epsilon_{ab} (\xi_c n_d - n_c \xi_d) w^{cd} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

Taking

$$w^{cd} = \xi^d (\nabla_e \gamma^{ce} - \nabla^c \gamma) \,, \tag{110}$$

where

$$\gamma_{ab} = \delta g_{ab} \tag{111}$$

and indices are raised by g^{ab}. Then Eq. (109) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \epsilon_{abcd} w^{cd} &= \int_S \epsilon_{ab} (\xi_c n_d - n_c \xi_d) \xi^d (\nabla_e \gamma^{ce} - \nabla^c \gamma) \\ &= -\int_S \epsilon_{ab} \xi_c (\nabla_e \gamma^{ce} - \nabla^c \gamma) \\ &= -\int_S \epsilon_{ab} \xi_c \nabla_e \gamma^{ce} \\ &= 2A\delta\kappa \,. \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

where Eq. (106) has been used.

One can show that if

$$S_{ab} = \epsilon_{abcd} w^{cd} \,, \tag{113}$$

then

$$dS_{cab} = 2\epsilon_{cabd} \nabla_e w^{[ed]} \,. \tag{114}$$

Now we can apply the Stocks's theorem to Σ

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Sigma 2\epsilon_{cabd} \nabla_e w^{[ed]} \\ = & -\int_S \epsilon_{abcd} w^{cd} + \int_\infty \epsilon_{abcd} w^{cd} \\ = & -2A\delta\kappa + \int_{S_\infty} \epsilon_{abcd} \xi^c (\nabla^e \gamma^d{}_e - \nabla^d \gamma) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

The integral at infinity gives $-8\pi\delta M$ [29]. Thus

$$\int_\Sigma 2\epsilon_{cabd}\nabla_e w^{[ed]} = -2A\delta\kappa - 8\pi\delta M\,. \tag{116}$$

Let

$$v^d = \nabla^e \gamma^d{}_e - \nabla^d\gamma\,. \tag{117}$$

Then Eq. (110) is written as

$$w^{cd} = \xi^d v^c\,. \tag{118}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Sigma 2\epsilon_{cabd}\nabla_e w^{[ed]} \\ = \;& 2\int_\Sigma \epsilon_{cabd}\nabla_e \xi^{[d}v^{e]} \\ = \;& \int_\Sigma \epsilon_{cabd}\xi^d\nabla_e v^e \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi v^a = 0$ has been used.

Standard calculation yields [29]

$$\delta R = \nabla^a v_a + R_{ab}\delta g^{ab} = \nabla^a v_a - R^{ab}\delta g_{ab}\,. \tag{120}$$

Thus, Eq. (119) becomes

$$\int_\Sigma 2\epsilon_{cabd}\nabla_e w^{[ed]} = \int_\Sigma \epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d(\delta R + R^{ef}\delta g_{ef})\,. \tag{121}$$

Plugging into Eq. (116) yields

$$\int_\Sigma \epsilon_{abcd}\xi^d(\delta R + \gamma^{ef}R_{ef}) = -2A\delta\kappa - 8\pi\delta M\,. \tag{122}$$

References

[1] A.D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP 22, 241 (1966).

[2] E. Gliner, Sov. Phys. JETP **22,** 378 (1966).

[3] J. Bardeen, Proc. GR5 (Tbilisi, USSR) (1968).

[4] J. P. S. Lemos and V. T. Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D **83,** 124005 (2011).

[5] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5056 (1998).

[6] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, Phys.Lett. B **493,** 149 (2000).

[7] K. A. Bronnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4641 (2000).

[8] J. C. S. Neves, A. Saa, Phys. Lett. B **734,** 44 (2014), arXiv:1402.2694.

[9] J. C. S. Neves, arXiv:1508.06701.

[10] V.S. Manko and E. Ruiz, arXiv:1605.00792.

[11] A. Abdujabbarov et al., Phys. Rev. D **93,** 104004, arXiv:1604.03809.

[12] Z. Stuchlk and J. Schee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24,** 1550020 (2014).

[13] J. Schee and Z. Stuchlik, JCAP 1506, 048 (2015).

[14] S. G. Ghosh and M. Amir. EPJC, **75,**553 (2015), arXiv:1506.04382.

[15] C. F. B. Macedo et al., arXiv:1505.07014v2.

[16] C. F. B. Macedo, arXiv:1605.00123.

[17] S. Hawking. Commun. Math. Phys. **43,** 199 (1975).

[18] M.-S. Ma and R. Zhao, Class. Quantum Grav. **31** (2014) 245014.

[19] M.-S. Ma, Annals of Physics **362,**529(2015), arXiv:1509.05580.

[20] O.Miskovic and R.Olea, Phys.Rev. D **83,** 024011(2011).

[21] O.Miskovic and R.Olea, Phys.Rev. D **83,** 064017(2011).

[22] M. Azreg-Ainou, Phys.Rev.D **91,** 064049 (2015).

[23] M. Azreg-Ainou, Eur. Phys. J. C, **75,** 34(2015).

[24] N.Breton, Annals Phys. 354,440 (2015).

[25] N.Breton, Gen.Rel.Grav. **37,** 643 (2005).

[26] Z.Y.Fan, arXiv: 1609.04489.

[27] Z.Y.Fan, arXiv: 1610.02636.

[28] D. A. Rasheed, *Non-Linear Electrodynamics: Zeroth and First Laws of Black Hole Mechanics*, arXiv:hep-th/9702087.

[29] R.M. Wald,*General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).

[30] J.M.Bardeen, B.Carter and S.W.Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **31,** 161 (1973).